

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

80.

OA 2529/2023

Ex Sgt Santosh Kumar Yadav Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Ajit Kakkar, Advocate with
Ms. Eti, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Waize Ali Noor, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R
08.08.2024

Even though in Para 8, the following reliefs have been claimed by the applicant during the course of hearing today:-

- (a) *To direct the respondents to fix the anomaly in the basic pay of the applicant as per option beneficial to the applicant and at par with his coursemates.*
- (b) *To direct the respondents to step up the basic pay of the applicant at par with his course mates and fix the salary accordingly w.e.f. 01.01.2006, 23.06.2008, 01.01.2016, 24.06.2016.*

Shri Ajit Kakkar informs us that the applicant would be satisfied in case his pay is fixed in the most beneficial manner as has been laid down in the case of *M.L. Srivastava Vs. Union of India* and ignoring the other prayers made in the application. He has no objection if the application is disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

The prayer made seems to be fair enough. Respondents to show cause as to why the said prayer cannot be allowed.

List the matter on 22.08.2024.

‘DASTI’.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON**

**[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]
MEMBER (A)**

/sm/